

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. | |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|--|
| 10/781,850 | 02/20/2004 | Stevan P. Tofovic | . 007278-04 | 1648 | |
| 36234 75 | 590 07/17/2006 | | EXAMINER | | |
| | MCCALLUM, PH D, F | HUI, SAN MING R | | | |
| THE MCCALLUM LAW FIRM, LLC 685 BRIGGS STREET PO BOX 929 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER | |
| | | | 1617 | | |
| ERIE, CO 80 | 0516 | | DATE MAILED: 07/17/2006 | ; | |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | | |
|--|---|--|--|----------|--|--|--|
| Office Action Summary | | 10/781,850 | TOFOVIC ET AL. | , | | | |
| | | Examiner | Art Unit | | | | |
| | | San-ming Hui | 1617 | | | | |
| | The MAILING DATE of this communication app | ears on the cover shee | t with the correspondence ad | dress | | | |
| Period fo | | | | 0. 541/0 | | | |
| WHIC - Exter after - If NC - Failu Any | ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY CHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN THE MAILING DANS IN (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. Operiod for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period were to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | ATE OF THIS COMMU 36(a). In no event, however, ma rill apply and will expire SIX (6) in cause the application to become | INICATION. y a reply be timely filed MONTHS from the mailing date of this co e ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). | | | | |
| Status | | | | | | | |
| 1) | Responsive to communication(s) filed on 10 M | ay 2006. | | | | | |
| 2a)⊠ | This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final. | | | | | | |
| 3) | Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is | | | | | | |
| | closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | | |
| Dispositi | ion of Claims | | | , | | | |
| 4)⊠ | Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-6,8-10,12-14,16-18,20-22,24-26</u> | 5,28-30,32-34 and 36 is | s/are pending in the applicati | ion. | | | |
| | 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. | | | | | | |
| | 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. | | | | | | |
| 6)⊠ | ⊠ Claim(s) <u>1,2,4-6,8-10,12-14,16-18,20-22,24-26,28-30,32-34 and 36</u> is/are rejected. | | | | | | |
| 7) | Claim(s) is/are objected to. | | | | | | |
| 8)□ | Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | r election requirement. | | | | | |
| Applicati | ion Papers | | | | | | |
| 9)□ | The specification is objected to by the Examine | r. | | | | | |
| 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner. | | | | | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a). | | | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d). | | | | | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | | | | | |
| Priority ι | under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). | | | | | | | |
| a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of: | | | | | | | |
| 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. | | | | | | | |
| | 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No | | | | | | |
| | 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior | ity documents have be | een received in this National | Stage | | | |
| | application from the International Bureau | • | | | | | |
| * (| See the attached detailed Office action for a list | of the certified copies | not received. | | | | |
| Attachmen | nt(s) | | | | | | |
| 1) Notice | ce of References Cited (PTO-892) | 4) Intervi | ew Summary (PTO-413) | | | | |
| | ce of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) | | No(s)/Mail Date of Informal Patent Application (PTC | O-152) | | | |
| Paper No(s)/Mail Date 6) Other: | | | | | | | |

Application/Control Number: 10/781,850

Art Unit: 1617

DETAILED ACTION

Applicant's amendments filed May 10, 2006 have been entered. Claims 3,7,11,15,19,23,27,31, and 35 have been cancelled.

Claims 1-2, 4-6,8-10, 12-14, 16-18, 20-22, 24-26, 28-30, 32-34, 36 are pending.

The outstanding rejection under 35 USC 112, second paragraph is withdrawn in view of the amendments filed May 10. 2006 since the claims have been cancelled.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

The factual inquiries set forth in *Graham* v. *John Deere Co.*, 383 U.S. 1, 148 USPQ 459 (1966), that are applied for establishing a background for determining obviousness under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) are summarized as follows:

- 1. Determining the scope and contents of the prior art.
- 2. Ascertaining the differences between the prior art and the claims at issue.
- 3. Resolving the level of ordinary skill in the pertinent art.
- 4. Considering objective evidence present in the application indicating obviousness or nonobviousness.

Claims 1-2, 4-6,8-10, 12-14, 16-18, 20-22, 24-26, 28-30, 32-34, 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over US2003/0027751('751) and US2001/0056068 ('068).

Art Unit: 1617

'751 teaches 2-methoxyestradiol as useful in treating pulmonary hypertension (See paragraph [0175] and [0179]).

'068 teaches the method of treating pulmonary hypertension (a nitric oxide deficiency disorder) using estradiol, estriol and estrone (See claims 1, 7, 14, and 16). '068 also suggests the use of continuous or prolong release dosage form (See paragraph 0119]. Examiner notes that estradiol is a prodrug of estradiol metabolite.

The primary references do not expressly teach both 2-methoxyestradiol and estradiol be used together in the method of treating pulmonary hypertension.

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention to employ both 2-methoxyestradiol and estradiol be used together in the method of treating pulmonary hypertension.

One of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to employ both 2-methoxyestradiol and estradiol be used together in the method of treating pulmonary hypertension. Concomitantly employ both agents, which are known to be useful in treating pulmonary hypertension, in a single method of treating the very same disorders would be *prima facie* obvious (See *In re Kerkhoven* 205 USPQ 1069). Examiner notes that the resulting mechanism of estradiol metabolites recited are considered as intrinsic properties within the metabolites of estradiol.

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed May 10, 2006 averring '751's failure to teach 2-methoxyestradiol in treating pulmonary hypertension have been fully considered but

Art Unit: 1617

they are not persuasive. Examiner notes that '751 teaches 2-methoxyestradiol can be used in conjunction with anti-angiogenic factors in the treatment of pulmonary hypertension. Since the claims recite the transitional phrase "comprising", the claims are permitted any compounds to be added to the method recited. Therefore, possessing the teachings of the cited prior arts, one of ordinary skill in the art would have been motivated to concomitantly employ the herein claimed agents in the method of treating pulmonary hypertension.

Applicant's arguments filed May 10, 2006 averring the prior arts cited in the previous office action mailed August 5, 2005 have been considered, but are not found persuasive since the rejection have been withdrawn. Furthermore, the arguments are not seen to be relevant to the rejection set forth in the office action.

THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL. Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Application/Control Number: 10/781,850 Page 5

Art Unit: 1617

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to San-ming Hui whose telephone number is (571) 272-0626. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon 9:00 to 1:00, Tu - Fri from 9:00 to 6:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Sreeni Padmanabhan, PhD., can be reached on (571) 272-0629. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 57.1-272-1000.

San-ming Hui / Primary Examiner

Art Unit 1617